

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,678	03/02/2004	Jeffry Jovan Philyaw	PHLY-26,664	2622
25883 7590 07/10/2008 HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P P.O. BOX 741715			EXAMINER	
			COULTER, KENNETH R	
DALLAS, TX 75374-1715			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@dalpat.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) PHILYAW, JEFFRY JOVAN 10/791.678 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2141 Kenneth R. Coulter All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Kenneth R. Coulter. (3) (2) Michael Rodriguez (Reg. No. 60,236). (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 24 June 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: N/A. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Applicant's representative, Michael Rodriguez, called regarding the status of the claims section (claims rejected) in the Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review. Examiner confirmed that claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) with regard to Wilz (U.S. Pat. No. 6.152.369). (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kenneth R Coulter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2141 Examiner's signature, if required

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an